1 2

Pursuant to Civ. L. R. 6-2 and 16-2, Plaintiff Shawn Riley ("Plaintiff" or "Riley") and Defendant Safeway Inc. ("Defendant" or "Safeway") (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their attorneys, submit the following as and for this Stipulation Requesting An Order Amending The Case Management Schedule:

The above-captioned action is one of nineteen actions filed against Aurora Dairy Corporation, d/b/a Aurora Organic Dairy ("Aurora") and/or several retailers who sell Aurora's organic milk. See Dkt # 16 (Safeway Inc.'s Local Rule 3-13 Notice Of Pendency Of Other Actions Or Proceedings).

On February 20, 2008, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("Multidistrict Panel") issued an order transferring and consolidating four of those actions -- Freyre, et al. v. Aurora Dairy Corp. (D. Colo.), Still, et al. v. Aurora Dairy Corp. (D. Colo.); Fiallos v. Aurora Dairy Corp. (S.D. Fla.) and Mothershead, et al. v. Aurora Dairy Corp. (E.D. Mo.) -- in the Eastern District of Missouri. See Declaration of Kathleen P. Lally ("Lally Decl.") ¶ 2, Ex. A (Transfer Order) at 7. In addition, the Multidistrict Panel identified eleven other actions -- including this action -- as "potential tag-along" actions. See id. at 5, n. 1. Accordingly, on February 26, 2008, the Clerk of the Multidistrict Panel issued a Conditional Transfer Order for this and the other previously identified "potential tag-along" actions. See Lally Decl. Ex. B (Conditional Transfer Order) at 10-11.

On February 22, 2008, in light of the Transfer Order issued by the Multidistrict Panel and the likely issuance of the conditional transfer order in this matter, the Parties agreed to stipulate to both an extension of time for conducting pre-trial matters and to seek an order postponing the Case Management Conference. See Lally Decl. ¶ 3. In light of the pending consolidation of this matter, the Parties agree that the Court should suspend pre-trial matters in this matter pending a transfer decision by the Multidistrict Panel. The Parties face a number of near-term deadlines, including engaging in preliminary discovery, defining the scope of electronic and other discovery and otherwise engaging in pre-trial activities. See Dkt # 2 (Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference And ADR Deadlines). Many of these issues, such as the Case Management Conference and pre-trial motion practice, implicate judicial and litigant resources. Accordingly,

Case 3:07-cv-06174-SC

Document 18

Filed 02/29/2008

Page 3 of 4

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	The Court having considered the Stipulation Requesting An Order Amending The
3	Case Management Schedule and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the
4	request is GRANTED.
5	The Case Management Schedule entered on December 5, 2007 be amended to
6	reflect the following schedule:
7	a. The Parties meet and confer regarding the initial disclosures, early settlement,
8	ADR process selection, and discovery plan within twenty (20) days of the
9	decision of the Multidistrict Panel;
10	b. The Parties file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR Process or
11	Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference within twenty (20) days of the
12	decision of the Multidistrict Panel;
13	c. The Parties file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state
14	objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file Case Management Statement per
15	attached Standing Order regarding Contents of Joint Case Management
16	Statement within thirty-four (34) days of the decision of the Multidistrict Panel;
17	and
18	d. The Initial Case Management Conference be held within forty-four (44) days of
19	the decision of the Multidistrict Panel.
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	
22	DATED:
23	Judge Joseph C. Spero
24	United States Magistrate Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	